Claims 1-27 are pending and under consideration in the above-identified application. In

the Final Office Action of March 9, 2009, claims 1-27 were rejected.

With this Amendment, claims 1, 2, 12 and 13 are amended.

I. 35 U.S.C. §103 Obviousness Rejection of Claims

Claims 1-22, 26 and 27 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(q) as being anticipated by or

under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tamura (U.S. Publication No. 2002-

0168572) ("Tamura") in view of Ying (U.S. Pat. No. 6,183,901) ("Ying").

Claims 23-25 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tamura

in view of Ying and in further view of Morishima (U.S. Publication No. 2003-0054253).

Applicants respectfully traverse these rejections.

In relevant part, each of the independent claims 1, 2, 12 and 13 recite the thin film layer

of a battery comprising several layers and the layer in contact with the active material layer being

made of a metal material which alloys with silicon or germanium and the other layers being

made of one or more metal materials different than that of the layer in contact with the active

material layer.

In the Final Office Action of March 9, 2009, the Examiner admits that Tamura fails to

disclose several layers where the other layers not in contact with the active material layer are

made of a different material than that of the layer in contact with the active material layer. See,

Final Office Action of March 9, 2009 at Page 7.

Nowhere do Ying or Morishima disclose anything pertaining to a battery having several

layers and the layer in contact with the active material layer being made of a metal material

which alloys with silicon or germanium and the other layers being made of one or more metal

- 9 -

materials different than that of the layer in contact with the active material layer. Ying

discloses a pseudo-boehmite separator layer which is coated with protective coating layer

comprising a polymer. See, U.S. Pat. No 6,183,901, Col. 11, I. 35-41. Morishima only discloses

a separator arranged on a positive electrode layer. See, U.S. Pat. Pub. No. 2003/0054253, Para.

[0106].

As the Applicants' specification teaches, by providing the thin film layer of a battery

comprising several layers with the layer in contact with the active material layer being made of a

metal material which alloys with silicon or germanium and the other layers being made of one or

more metal materials different than that of the layer in contact with the active layer, separation of

the active material layer from the thin film layer is prevented and the cycle characteristics of the

battery are improved. See, U.S. Pat. Pub. No. 2004/0234861, Paras. [0031]-[0036].

Therefore, because Tamura, Ying, Morishima and any combination of them fails to

disclose, or even fairly suggest, every feature of claims 1, 2, 12 and 13, the rejection of claims 1,

2, 12 and 13 cannot stand. Because claims 3-11 and 14-27 depend either directly or indirectly

from claims 1, 2, 12 and 13, they are allowable for at least the same reasons.

- 10 -

II. Conclusion

In view of the above amendments and remarks, Applicant submits that all claims are clearly allowable over the cited prior art, and respectfully requests early and favorable notification to that effect.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: June 5, 2009 By: /David R. Metzger/

David R. Metzger

Registration No. 32,919 SONNENSCHEIN NATH & ROSENTHAL LLP

P.O. Box 061080

Wacker Drive Station, Sears Tower Chicago, Illinois 60606-1080

(312) 876-8000